James C. Grant (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) jamesgrant@dwt.com DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP				
9 Seattle, WA 98101 Telephone: 206-622-3150 Facsimile: 206-757-7700				
Thomas H. Bienert, Jr., State Bar No. 135311 tbienert@bienertkatzman.com Whitney Z. Bernstein, State Bar No. 304917 wbernstein@bienertkatzman.com BIENERT KATZMAN PC 903 Calle Amanacer, Suite 350 San Clemente, CA 92673 Telephone: 949-369-3700 Facsimile: 949-369-3701 Attorneys for James Larkin				
			IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
			A	
			PJW)	
			J vv)	
			nment's velopment	
•				
ו				

RESPONSE TO GOVERNMENT'S NOTICE OF MATERIAL DEVELOPMENT

Movant/Claimant James Larkin hereby responds to the Government's Notice of Material Development, in which it submitted the October 24, 2019 order in *United States v. Lacey, et al.*, 2:18-CR-00422-001-PHX-SMB (D. Ariz.) this Court's Order (Doc. 102).

The Government submitted this Order denying a motion to dismiss the indictment in a related criminal case with the misleading representation that it addressed First Amendment arguments "certain of which claimants have asserted, repeated, or referenced in these proceedings." The Notice does not identify any particular First Amendment argument that was raised or addressed in the Arizona proceeding that is at issue in the Motion pending before this Court. This is because the two proceedings raise entirely different issues.

Contrary to the Government's vague reference to "certain arguments," the Arizona Order addressed only the question of whether the Superseding Indictment was constitutionally deficient on its face if all its allegations are accepted as true. It decided only whether the criminal case may proceed to trial. Nothing in the Order addressed or decided the legality of pretrial seizures of publishing assets without an adversary hearing, what standards must apply in any such hearing, or any issue involving the sufficiency of the affidavits submitted to justify the seizures. The First and Fourth Amendment questions in this proceeding are governed by precedents that determine what actions the Government may or may not take prior to trial and what standards apply, issues that are not discussed in the Arizona Order.

1 DATED this 1st day of November, 2019. 2 obert Coin- Tovace 3 Robert Corn-Revere (admitted pro hac vice) 4 DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP 5 1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20006 6 Telephone: 202-973-4200 7 Facsimile: 202-973-4499 Email: bobcornrevere@dwt.com 8 James C. Grant (admitted pro hac vice) 9 jamesgrant@dwt.com 10 DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 2200 11 Seattle, WA 98101 Telephone: 206-622-3150 12 Facsimile: 206-757-7700 13 Thomas H. Bienert, Jr., State Bar No. 135311 14 tbienert@bienertkatzman.com 15 Whitney Z. Bernstein, State Bar No. 304917 wbernstein@bienertkatzman.com 16 BIENERT KATZMAN PC 17 903 Calle Amanacer, Suite 350 San Clemente, CA 92673 18 Telephone: 949-369-3700 Facsimile: 949-369-3701 19 20 Attorneys for James Larkin 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 2 3 I hereby certify that on November 1, 2019, I electronically transmitted a PDF version of this document to the Clerk of the Court, using the CM/ECF System, for 4 filing and for transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to the following CM/ECF 5 registrants listed below. 6 /s/ Robert Corn-Revere Robert Corn-Revere 7 8 Erin E. McCampbell, emccampbell@lglaw.com Anthony R. Bisconti, tbisconti@bienertkatzman.com 9 Ariel A. Neuman, aan@birdmarella.com 10 Bruce S. Feder, bf@federlawpa.com 11 James C. Grant, jimgrant@dwt.com Paul J. Cambria, pcambria@lglaw.com 12 Janey Henze Cook, janey@henzecookmurphy.com 13 Thomas H. Bienert, Jr., tbienert@bienertkatzman.com 14 Whitney Z. Bernstein, wbernstein@bienertkatzman.com Gary S. Lincenberg, glincenberg@birdmarella.com 15 Gopi K. Panchapakesan, gpanchapakesan@birdmarella.com 16 John Jacob Kucera, john.kucera@usdoj.gov 17 Daniel G. Boyle, daniel.boyle2@usdoj.gov 18 19 By: /s/ Robert Corn-Revere 20 Robert Corn-Revere 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28